Effect of physical therapy in daily life and work activities for people with chronic cervical pain syndrome
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Cervical pain syndrome (CPS), or pain in the neck, is defined as a set of symptoms that limit performing movements in the upper part of the back and last more than 1 day. When the mentioned symptoms last for more than 12 weeks, we talk about chronic CPS. It often represents the condition that results from disability. It is associated with poor posture, work in sitting position, stress, and long-lasting and repetitive movements. The aim of the study was to examine the effect of physical therapy on the degree of disability, pain intensity, and daily life and work activities of persons with chronic CPS.

Methods: The research was conducted in the health spa center “Reumal” Fojnica from June 2020 until July 2020. It included 50 subjects of both genders, more than 18 years old, and of all occupations, treated with physiotherapy procedures (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, magnetotherapy, therapeutic ultrasound, and kinesitherapy in the form of McKenzie exercises). In addition, a pre- and post-treatment study analyzed the condition of the respondents at the first examination and the control examination after completion of treatment.

Results: By analyzing the results, we established that of the total number of respondents, 74% were female, and the average age was 57.36 years. At the end of the study, the degree of disability caused by neck pain was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than the degree of disability before the therapy. Discomfort caused by symptoms of CPS that occurred and interference with work before the therapy was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) after the treatment program. Therapeutic procedures have reduced the pain intensity and improved the activities of everyday life.

Conclusion: The treatment with physical therapy procedures effectively reduces the degree of disability and pain intensity and improves people’s daily life and work activities with chronic CPS.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical pain syndrome (CPS) is a set of symptoms limiting mobility in the upper back for more than a day. It is a common cause of disability. It is associated with poor posture, work in a sitting position, stress, and long-lasting and repetitive movements [1].

It is one of the most common painful conditions of the musculoskeletal system and the leading cause of illness and disability in everyday life and work activities worldwide. About 30-50% of adults experience neck pain at least once in their lives, and 10-15% of the population suffer from chronic ailments related to the current sedentary lifestyle [2,3].

Pain and disability caused by CPS and the tendency to recur significantly impact the individuals, their family, community, health-care system, and workplace. Accordingly, it represents a significant public health problem in terms of health and absence from work [2,4].

The causes of neck pain can vary from inflammatory and degenerative rheumatic diseases, various traumatic conditions, and malignant diseases to mechanical causes arising from changes in the structural elements of the cervical spine [1].

The diagnosis of CPS is made based on the complete anamnesis and physical examination. Identified signs and symptoms may result in a definitive diagnosis or direct the patient to additional radiological, laboratory, or electrodiagnostic procedures [1,5,6].

Somatic pain can be superficial or deep. Nociceptors activate superficial pain, and it is usually sharp and highly localized. Deep pain is diffuse, non-specific, and intensifies with neck movement, suggesting damage to deeper structures. In a larger number of patients, the pain is localized on the shoulder and upper arm, while fewer patients may experience headaches, especially in the frontal part. Neuropathic pain is caused by irritation of the nerve roots or spinal cord. It is sharp and burning in nature and is manifested by a combination of neck pain (73%), pain that spreads through
the arms (99%), or pain in the scapular region (52%). Dysesthesia, paresthesia, and allodynia are associated with it [7,8]. It can be acute, subacute, and chronic CPS with symptoms that last more than 12 weeks concerning the duration of pain [9,10].

Most acute episodes disappear spontaneously without treatment, but almost 50% of patients experience a recurrent episode of neck pain at some point in their lives [2]. Therefore, the main goal of the treatment is to reduce the intensity of pain and fully train the patient in performing daily life and work activities [5]. For this purpose, conservative treatment is recommended, which may initially, depending on the cause, vary from an individually determined period of rest and medical therapy [11,12] to the procedures of joint mobilization, techniques for reducing pain intensity, relaxation, and soft-tissue recovery (physical therapy, dry needling, instrument assisted soft-tissue mobilization – IASTM, and neurodynamics) [7,8,13,14].

An indispensable part of neck pain treatment is the alternative treatment (acupuncture) and psychosocial techniques [5,15,16]. Kinesitherapy in the form of exercises for maintaining the range of motion and strengthening the cervical spine muscles leads to the correction of posture, reduction of discomfort, and prevention of neck pain recurrence [17].

In rare cases, when conservative treatment does not achieve satisfactory results or when there is an absolute indication, surgical treatment is recommended [5].

The occurrence of pain by CPS has a negative impact on daily life activities in limiting functional mobility and reducing the quality of life [18]. It is also a common problem in the working population and mainly affects office workers. The continuous sitting position can lead to significant pressure on the back muscles, discs, and ligaments, causing structural changes in the spinal column, leading to the appearance of pain in the cervical spine [19].

The aim of this study was to examine the effect of physical therapy on the pain intensity, the degree of disability, and the daily life and work abilities of people with chronic CPS.

METHODS

The research included 50 respondents of both genders, more than 18 years old, and all occupations, who came to the health spa center “Reunal” Fojnica because of the chronic CPS symptoms from June 16, 2020, until July 10, 2020.

Criteria for inclusion of respondents in the study were as follows:

- Chronic CPS is diagnosed by clinical examination and radiological examinations (X-ray, CT, and MRI)
- Research instruments performed the analysis of the disability degree, pain intensity, and daily life and work activities before inclusion in the research.

The criteria for exclusion from the study were as follows:

- Respondents under 18 years of age
- The research instruments did not analyze disability, pain intensity, and daily life and work activities after the physical therapy program
- Discontinuation of treatment or non-adherence to therapeutic protocol.

During the first examination and the control examination after the treatment of the respondents, the following analysis was made:

- Degree of disability of the respondents due to neck pain using the “Northwick Park Neck Pain” questionnaire [20]
- The respondents who were sedentary or standing workers were working abilities using the Cornell University questionnaire on musculoskeletal discomfort [21]
- The intensity of pain and daily life activities using the “Northwick Park Neck Pain” questionnaire [20].

Treatment of all subjects included physical therapy procedures applied in the following order:

- Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), standard high frequency (75-100 Hz, 200 µs) for 20 minutes each day of therapy. A two-channel method was used using four skin electrodes applied paravertebrally on both sides (C5-TH1 area)
- Magnetotherapy, a low-frequency magnetic field applied to the cervical and upper thoracic segments using a 70 cm diameter solenoid for 20 minutes each day of therapy
- Therapeutic ultrasound, continuous ultrasound waves of intensity 0.4-0.8 W/cm² for 4 minutes, 10 procedures for the first 10 days of therapy (each day for subjects on therapy for <10 days) applied paravertebrally the shoulder girdle muscles on both sides
- Kinesitherapy in the form of McKenzie exercises lasting 20 minutes each day of therapy.

The research was designed as a pre- and post-treatment study that analyzed the condition of the subjects at the first examination and the control examination after the treatment was completed. Respondents performed a kinesitherapy program in the form of McKenzie exercises under the supervision of the same physiotherapist. At the same time, TENS, magnetotherapy, and therapeutic ultrasound were administered by physiotherapists in charge of conducting them during the study period.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Studies number 04-7-4/20. It was conducted exclusively voluntarily, and we received consent for participation from each respondent. The identities of the respondents are classified concerning ethical principles and the principles of privacy.

Statistical analysis of the data was performed in IBM SPSS Statistics v. 24.0. for Windows. The results are presented in the form of tables and Graph 1.

When describing the samples, we used the appropriate methods of classical descriptive statistics:

- Average value (M)
- Standard deviation (SD)
- Absolute frequencies (N)
- Relevant frequencies (%)

Before the primary analyses, we checked how the results were distributed and based on the Kolmogorov–Smirnov
and Shapiro–Wilk tests results. We found out that all measured variables deviated from the normal distribution to a statistically significant extent, $p < 0.05$. That is why we used non-parametric statistics to calculate the existence of statistically significant differences.

**RESULTS**

Of the total number of respondents included in the study, 13 (26%) respondents were male and 37 (74%) were female (Table 1).

The respondents’ age structure determined the average age of the respondents, which was $M = 57.36$ (SD = 12.11), where the youngest respondent was 28 and the oldest 77 years old (Table 2).

Of the total number of the respondents, 33 (66%) were unemployed, while 17 (34%) were employed (Table 3).

Of the total number of the respondents, 26 (52%) had a diagnosis of the local cervical syndrome, 15 (30%) had a diagnosis of cervicobrachial syndrome, 7 (14%) had a diagnosis of cervical spondylosis, and 2 (4%) had a diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy (Graph 1).

The average duration of the physical therapy program was $M = 10.94$ days (Table 4).

### Results analysis of the disability degree caused by neck pain (Northwick Park Neck Pain questionnaire)

The average value of the degree of disability of the respondents before rehabilitation was 40%, and after the rehabilitation, 30%, so there was a decrease in the disability degree (Table 5).

The results of the Wilcoxon test show that $p < 0.05$, which means that there is a statistically significant difference, and the degree of pain after the rehabilitation is statistically significantly lower than the degree of pain before the rehabilitation (Table 6).

### Results analysis of the workability of the respondents (Cornell University questionnaire on musculoskeletal discomfort)

To analyze the Cornell University questionnaire on musculoskeletal discomfort, which was used to assess workability, we used employed respondents whose number is presented in Table 7.

By analyzing the total number of 17 (34%) employed respondents, we reached the results by which 5 respondents (12%) were sedentary workers, and 12 respondents (71%) were standing workers.

Before the rehabilitation, the most significant number of employed respondents, 59%, answered that the occurrence of discomfort and unpleasantness due to the symptoms of chronic CPS slightly interfered with their work.

After the rehabilitation, the most significant number of employed respondents, 53%, answered that the appearance of discomfort and unpleasantness due to the symptoms of chronic CPS did not interfere with their work at all. Therefore, concerning the slight interference before the rehabilitation, we could see that there had been an improvement after rehabilitation (Table 8).

The table shows the descriptive values of the measures of work interference before and after the rehabilitation. Based on the
arithmetic mean values, we see that the discomfort caused by chronic CPS was less disruptive to work after rehabilitation than the level measured before the rehabilitation (Table 9). The results of the Wilcoxon test show that $p < 0.05$, which means that there is a statistically significant difference between conditions before and after the rehabilitation, that is, after the rehabilitation, there was a statistically significant reduction of work interference (Table 10).

Analysis of the pain intensity and daily life activities (Northwick Park Neck Pain questionnaire)
In the research, we analyzed the intensity of pain and daily life activities: Pain and sleep, carrying objects, reading and watching TV, work, and social activities.

We presented the descriptive values of the measured variables for pain intensity, pain, and sleeping, carrying objects, reading and watching TV, work, and social activities before and after the rehabilitation. Based on the presented arithmetic means, we see a decrease in measured values after the rehabilitation concerning values measured before the rehabilitation (Table 10).

Based on the ranks of the arithmetic means, we checked whether the stated differences in the measured values before and after rehabilitation were statistically significant.

The Wilcoxon test results show a statistically significant difference $p < 0.05$ for all measured variables when comparing the values before and after the rehabilitation. This means that rehabilitation led to a statistically significant decrease in values than values measured before the therapy (Table 10).

### TABLE 7. Standing/sitting position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position during work</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sitting position</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing position</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 8. Interference with work before the rehabilitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interference with work before rehabilitation</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant interference</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant interference</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference with work after the rehabilitation</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant interference</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant interference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 9. Descriptive values of interference variables before and after the therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interference with work</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interference with work</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference with work</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 10. Statistical significance of work interference before and after the therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical significance</th>
<th>Interference with work after – Interference with work before</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-3.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 11. Descriptive values of measured variables of pain intensity and daily life activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain intensity and daily activities</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity before</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity after</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain and sleeping before</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain and sleeping after</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying objects before</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying objects after</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading and watching TV before</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading and watching TV after</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work before</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work after</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social activities before</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social activities after</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

The analysis of gender structure determined that 13 male respondents, 26%, and 37 female respondents, 74%, participated in the research. In addition, the analysis of the age structure of the respondents determined the average age of the respondents, which was $M = 57.36$ (SD = 12.11), where the youngest respondent was 28 and the oldest 77 years old.

Out of the total number of respondents, 33 (66%) are unemployed and 17 (34%) are employed. The analysis of the structure of respondents by occupation shows that out of 34% of employed respondents, 5 (12%) were sedentary and 12 (71%) had standing workers.

All subjects included in the research had chronic pain, and the average duration of the rehabilitation was $M = 10.94$ days.

Analysis of the Northwick Park Neck Pain questionnaire presented the disability degree before starting rehabilitation treatment and after the end of rehabilitation treatment. The average value of the disability degree calculated using the Northwick Park Neck Pain questionnaire before rehabilitation was 40% and after rehabilitation 30%. Wilcoxon test shows that $Z = -5.908$ ($p < 0.05$), which means a statistically significant difference. Therefore, the degree of disability after rehabilitation is statistically significantly lower than the degree of disability before rehabilitation.

Bernal-Utrera (2020) et al. investigated the effects of manual therapy and therapeutic exercises in treating chronic CPS. A randomized control study involving 69 subjects divided into three age groups of 18-50 years examined the effects of these procedures in the 1st, 4th, and 12th weeks. Research has shown that both methods reduce the pain intensity and the disability degree after 12 weeks, with therapeutic exercises having a faster effect on reduced disability degree than manual therapy [22].

Sial et al. (2016) researched the effectiveness of physical therapy and home exercise programs to reduce neck discomfort in the treatment of postural neck pain. The research included 40 patients of both genders, divided into the experimental (physical therapy and home exercise program for the cervical spine) and the control group (physical therapy). The research instrument was the NDI questionnaire. By analyzing the gender structure of the respondents, it was determined that most of the respondents were female.
61.1%. Furthermore, according to the sample frequency, most were office, field, and house workers. The research concluded that the home program of exercises for reducing discomfort in the cervical spine had significant effects on reducing postural neck pain [23].

Out of the 50 respondents included in our research, 17 were employed. Out of those 17, 5 respondents were sedentary workers and 12 respondents were standing workers. We analyzed the extent to which discomfort and discomfort interfered with work activities before and after the rehabilitation program among the employed respondents. Most employed respondents (59%) answered that the neck pain slightly interfered with their work. However, when the respondents completed the rehabilitation procedures, the most significant number (53%) responded that the neck pain did not interfere with their work. Wilcoxon test \( Z = -3.464 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)) showed a statistically significant difference in the domain of disruption of work caused by neck pain before and after rehabilitation. Physiotherapy procedures also affected the field of improving the working status of subjects with chronic neck pain.

We analyzed the pain intensity and parameters of daily life activities using the Northwick Park Neck Pain questionnaire: Pain and sleeping, carrying objects, reading and watching TV, work, and social activities before and after the rehabilitation program.

The average value of neck pain intensity before the therapy was \( M = 2.2 \), and after the therapy, \( M = 1.2 \). Wilcoxon test showed that \( Z = -6.051 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)), which means that there is a statistically significant difference when comparing the pain intensity before and after the rehabilitation.

The average value of the neck pain intensity that affected sleeping of the respondents before the therapy was \( M = 1.5 \), and after the therapy, it was \( M = 0.8 \). The results of the Wilcoxon test showed that \( Z = -4.919 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)), and therefore, there was a statistically significant difference in sleeping disorders caused by pain before and after the rehabilitation.

Neeraj and Shiv (2016) discussed the importance of McKenzie exercises to treat symptoms caused by neck pain in their research. They compared the impact of McKenzie exercises, strengthening exercises, and the application of warm hydrocollator packs. Research tools were VAS and Functional Rating Index (FRI). The study included 45 respondents who were divided into three groups. The first group of the respondents had McKenzie exercises treatment and application of warm packs. The second group of the respondents made strengthening exercises, application of warm packs, and postural correction, while the third group used warm packs and postural correction. The period of the rehabilitation was 4 weeks. Respondents were examined with the help of instruments before the start of the rehabilitation and after the rehabilitation. Statistical analysis did not show a significant difference in relation to age and body weight. However, a significant statistical difference was established by VAS and FRI analysis (\( p < 0.05 \)) in the rehabilitation period from the 2nd to the 4th week in all three groups of subjects. A significant statistical difference (\( p < 0.05 \)) was also established between the first and third group in the rehabilitation period from the 2nd to the 4th week. The treatment with McKenzie exercises was more effective than strengthening exercises and applying warm packs in the period from the 2nd to the 4th week of the rehabilitation [24].

Respondents had a lower ability to carry objects before the treatment (\( M = 2.5 \)) than the period after the treatment (\( M = 1.7 \)). Wilcoxon test showed that \( Z = -4.319 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)), which means that there is a statistically significant difference in carrying objects in periods before and after the rehabilitation.

Pain and discomfort in the neck while reading and watching TV were more emphasized in respondents before the rehabilitation (\( M = 2.7 \)) than the period after the treatment (\( M = 1.0 \)). Wilcoxon test showed that \( Z = -4.347 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)), which means a statistically significant difference in performing these activities before and after the rehabilitation.

Neck pain significantly interfered with the respondents’ work activities before the treatment (\( M = 1.5 \)), and after the treatment, there was a significant improvement (\( M = 0.9 \)). According to the Wilcoxon test \( Z = -5.070 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)), there is a statistically significant difference in performing work before and after the rehabilitation.

Neck pain significantly limited the social activities of the respondents before the therapy (\( M = 1.1 \)) when we compare it to the period after the therapy (\( M = 0.7 \)) according to Wilcoxon test \( Z = -3.629 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)), and there is a statistically significant difference in social life activities before and after the rehabilitation.

Our statistical analysis determined a significant statistical difference in the reduced pain intensity and the performance of daily life activities before therapy beginning and after the completion of the rehabilitation program. We compared these results with some of the studies.

O’Riordan et al. (2014) conducted a systematic review of the literature and a meta-analysis on the efficiency of an active physiotherapy exercise program in patients with chronic neck pain. Within the study, 16 studies were selected that met the inclusion criteria, and treatment outcomes were analyzed, including isometric strength, Neck Disability Index score, and pain intensity score. The research concluded that physiotherapeutic interventions that use a multidimensional approach are beneficial in increasing strength, improving function and quality of life, and reducing pain intensity in people with chronic neck pain. Furthermore, it was determined that active strengthening exercises were useful in achieving these effects and that they
could be enhanced by the inclusion of stretching and aerobic exercises [25].

CONCLUSION
Based on the conducted research, it was determined that physical therapy procedures effectively reduce the degree of disability of people with chronic CPS. By analyzing the functional ability, we realized that the discomfort caused by the symptoms of chronic CPS, which impaired the working ability of the respondents, significantly decreased after the physical therapy program. The implemented therapeutic program effectively reduced pain intensity and improved daily life, work, and social activities in people with chronic CPS.
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